Case 1:24-cv-00648-AA Document 23-44 Filed 06/20/24 Page 1 of 17

EXHIBIT 49

| IN THE CIRCUIT COURT C | OF THE STATE OF OREGON |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| IN AND FOR THE C | COUNTY OF JACKSON |
| BEFORE THE HONO | DRABLE DAVID ORR |
| | |
| ARNAUD PARIS, |) |
| Petitioner, |) |
| v . |) No. 23DR08269 |
| HEIDI MARIE BROWN, | ,) |
| Respondent. |) |
| | |
| HEIDI MARIE BROWN, |) |
| Petitioner, |) |
| v . |) No. 22DR17285 |
| ARNAUD PARIS, |) |
| Respondent. |) |
| | |
| EXCERPT OF | PROCEEDINGS |
| August 3, 20 | 023, Thursday |
| 4:40 to 4 | 4:53 P.M. |
| | |
| APPEARANCES: | |
| For Petitioner/Respondent | Brown: MR. TAYLOR MURDOCH |
| For Respondent Paris: MR. | . THOMAS BITTNER |
| | |
| Transcribed by: Eleanor 0 | G. Knapp, RPR-CSR |
| | |

ccreporting.com

EXHIBIT 49 - PAGE 1 OF 16

| 1 | Excerpt of Proceedings, Thursday, August 3, 2023 |
|----|---|
| 2 | 4:40 to 4:53 P.M. |
| 3 | *** |
| 4 | A on a good. Day French legalese, I |
| 5 | don't even know where to begin. |
| 6 | BY MR. MURDOCH: |
| 7 | Q. We'll go over this in a bit. But you did |
| 8 | receive the summons for that initial hearing in |
| 9 | January. |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. And that was in French only. Correct? |
| 12 | A. It was in French only. |
| 13 | Q. So the translation that was provided to |
| 14 | the Court was not something that you received. It |
| 15 | was something that your attorneys obtained later. |
| 16 | Correct? |
| 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | Q. And you are a citizen of the State of |
| 19 | Oregon. |
| 20 | A. I'm what? |
| 21 | Q. You are a citizen of the State of Oregon. |
| 22 | A. I am. |
| 23 | Q. And you are protected by the constitution, |
| 24 | to the best of your knowledge, Ms. Brown, of the |
| 25 | State of Oregon as well, are you not? |
| | |

| | 3 |
|----|---|
| 1 | A. I guess. |
| 2 | Q. And you are aware that in this court, are |
| 3 | you not, that you can request a copy of the formal |
| 4 | proceeding that happens on the record and find out |
| 5 | what happened in this court? |
| 6 | A. Yes. I am well aware. |
| 7 | Q. Have you requested recordings in this |
| 8 | court, for example, for things that have happened |
| 9 | during the pendency of this matter? |
| 10 | A. Yes. Many. |
| 11 | Q. Do you have that ability to do that in |
| 12 | France? |
| 13 | A. No. They they just have a bailiff |
| 14 | taking, like, shorthand, handwritten notes on maybe |
| 15 | one piece of paper. |
| 16 | Q. And are you able to request those at some |
| 17 | point? |
| 18 | A. Not personally. You would have to have a |
| 19 | lawyer ask the judge to do her a personal favor in |
| 20 | order to get a copy of those notes. |
| 21 | Q. And you provided we'll go over this |

Α.

Q.

Α.

22

23

24

25

Yeah.

I did.

you acquired a translated copy of those notes --

-- likely not today. But at some point

- Q. -- from the March hearing.
- A. Yeah, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, you are also aware, are you not, that

 -- actually, quite aware, likely, due to the

 proceedings today in this matter that you have a

 right under the Oregon constitution to be present in

 a proceeding in which custody of your children is

 adjudicated, are you not?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you are aware that Mr. Paris has a right to be present in court at a proceeding to adjudicate custody of his children as well?
 - A. Yep. Yes.
- Q. Were you present at that hearing in March in any capacity? Were you listening on the phone or were you doing anything --
- A. They don't have any capacity for -- or any option for a remote hearing.
 - Q. How long did that hearing last?
- A. My understanding is it lasted all of 40 minutes to cover all of jurisdiction and custody which -- mind-blowing.
- Q. And was there any opportunity for you to present evidence, such as testimony from witnesses or testimony from family members who are here

| 1 | present in the courtroom? | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | A. No. | | | | |
| 3 | Q. Mr. Paris is was born in France. | | | | |
| 4 | Correct? | | | | |
| 5 | A. Correct. | | | | |
| 6 | Q. He's a native French speaker. Correct? | | | | |
| 7 | A. Correct. | | | | |
| 8 | Q. Would it be fair to say that he would have | | | | |
| 9 | more knowledge than you about the court processes in | | | | |
| 10 | France when it comes to when it ame to this | | | | |
| 11 | hearing? | | | | |
| 12 | A. Absolutely. | | | | |
| 13 | Q. Do you feel like you were at a | | | | |
| 14 | disadvantage? | | | | |
| 15 | A. Absolutely. | | | | |
| 16 | Q. Why? | | | | |
| 17 | A. Because I have absolutely no basis for | | | | |
| 18 | understanding their legal system. I was completely | | | | |
| 19 | reliant on what my lawyer was telling me. | | | | |
| 20 | Q. Now, the ruling that the French Court made | | | | |
| 21 | eventually I want to get to the substance of it. | | | | |
| 22 | But you presented arguments only on jurisdiction at | | | | |
| 23 | that hearing. Correct? | | | | |
| 24 | A. Yes. | | | | |
| 25 | Q. To the best of your knowledge? | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Α. | Yes. | Uh-huh. |
|----|------|---------|
| Α. | ies. | un-nun. |

- Q. Just to be a devil's advocate, why wouldn't you just make sure that the submission that you provided to the Court in March of 2023 also included what you wanted for a parenting plan and what you thought was in the best interests of the children?
- A. Because my understanding was that for custody you needed to be physically present to make oral argument on custody. Like, it's a very important thing that you're physically there to -- yeah -- to -- to discuss the custody issue.
- Q. Now, there are proceedings that are scheduled in France right now. Correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Paris has asked for a five-year no-fly rule to stop the children from leaving -- leaving France at all on any airplane.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And there's a hearing that's set in that matter in August of this month -- or August 24th, later this month.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you are going to that hearing, aren't you?

I sure am. I've got my tickets. I've got 1 Α. 2 my hotel. My mom's coming with me. Yep. And you have a different lawyer now in 3 Q. 4 France --I do. 5 Α. 6 Q. Ms. Brassens. Correct? That's correct. 7 Α. 8 Q. And you are aware, are you not, that that 9 hearing could have significant impacts on your 10 children and their future? Yes. Yes. 11 Α. Ms. Brown, the hearing in March was much 12 Q. 13 more significant in many ways than this hearing 14 that's coming up in August, was it not? 15 Α. Yes. Did the Court ever call you and say, 16 Q. 17 "Ms. Brown, we need to hear from you. Where are you"? 18 No. I had no way of getting that 19 20 information. It's not listed online. 21 Did the Court ever call you like this Court called Mr. -- Mr. Paris for this proceeding? 22 23 Α. No. Did the Court ever give you an opportunity 24 Q. to make any sort of statement at that proceeding? 25

A. No.

- Q. Did the Court ever give you any opportunity to express what you thought were in the best interests of your children at this hearing in March of 2023 in Paris, France?
 - A. No. No. I never had a chance. And they never asked me, and they never notified me.
 - Q. Did they ever stop the proceedings because you weren't there, to the best of your knowledge?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did they -- did they ever offer to -- was there ever any effort that you're aware of to consider testimony from witnesses such as the folks from France who testified on behalf of Mr. Paris?
 - A. No. Nothing.
 - Q. To the best of your knowledge, Ms. Brown, if you had asked to have these people appear remotely or provide testimony at this 40-minute hearing in which custody and parenting time of your children was adjudicated in addition to jurisdiction, would you have been able to present any of that evidence?
 - A. Over there? No. They don't do remote.

 Is that -- I'm not sure I understood the question.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

23

24

25

- It was a bit of a compound question. Q. But, Ms. Brown, they don't do remote over sorry. Is that a fair understanding? there. They don't do any remote or any recordings Α. of any of the proceedings. So are they -- to the best of your Q. knowledge, is a French court able to gather the sort 8 of information that the Oregon court has gathered in this proceeding? 10 No. Not to my knowledge. Again, I don't Α. really know what their system is like. But, yeah, I 11 12 don't think there's any way to do it. Now I want to talk a little bit about that 13 Q. 14 French judgment. And there's some important proceeding -- information in it. And I think you 15 16 have in front of you Exhibit 3. I think if we can 17 turn there that it includes a translated copy of the 18 French (unintelligible). 19 THE COURT: What was that again, 20 Mr. Murdoch? 21 MR. MURDOCH: Thank you, Your Honor. I believe it's Exhibit 3, and it's the subject --22
 - But it includes a copy of the French judgment that I

jurisdiction which was dismissed on today's date.

second motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter

```
1
     want to inquire of the witness.
2
                Oh, Lord.
          Α.
     BY MR. MURDOCH:
3
                There's a certified translation --
4
          ο.
5
          Α.
                Sorry. I'm having a technical issue here.
     I found Exhibit 3.
6
7
                Okay. Let's close it up because we only
          Q.
      have about ten more minutes.
8
9
                You got it.
          Α.
10
          Q.
                So this is Exhibit 3, and then there's an
     attachment in the back which is -- I think it's --
11
12
      it's about 100 pages in.
13
          Α.
                Okay.
14
                And it's -- the first page of it is
          0.
      judgment entered on April 21, 2023.
15
16
          Α.
                It's on page 100?
                Yeah, about 100 pages in.
17
          Q.
18
          Α.
                Okay.
                So we'll take a look at the French
19
          Q.
      translation now.
20
21
          Α.
                Yes.
                Rather the initial (unintelligible).
22
      this is the English translation?
23
24
          Α.
                Yeah.
25
                     MR. MURDOCH: Does the Court have a
```

```
copy of the French judgment in front of it?
1
                    THE COURT: I do.
2
     BY MR. MURDOCH:
3
                So this is a translated version -- a
4
         Q.
     certified translation, that you're aware of, of the
5
     French judgment. Correct?
6
7
                That is correct.
         Α.
8
          Q.
                Now let's look at this judgment.
9
     a statement in the case that was made by the judge
10
     -- I believe the Court's name is Judge Karina
     Brahimi (phonetic) is the Court -- the judge in
11
12
     France.
13
                And I note that on the first place it
14
     indicates you and your address on page 1 -- sorry.
15
     I'm going to go back and slow down a little bit,
16
     Ms. Brown.
17
                Yes. That's correct.
         Α.
18
         Q.
                And there's -- it indicates you were
19
     there, but it indicated that you did not appear at
20
     that proceeding?
21
                That's correct. I think.
         Α.
22
         Q.
                And I'm looking under the defendant. You
23
     notice that --
24
         Α.
                Okay. Yes.
25
         Q.
                -- it indicated that Mr. Paris appeared?
```

| | 12 |
|----|--|
| 1 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 2 | Q. And there's no indication that you didn't |
| 3 | that you appeared. |
| 4 | A. Yeah. That's correct. |
| 5 | Q. And on page 2 there's a statement of the |
| 6 | case. |
| 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Q. And it looks like I'm looking down |
| 9 | beginning March at the hearing on March 31, which |
| 10 | is about 6 pages down or 6 paragraphs down the |
| 11 | page. Excuse me. |
| 12 | A. Yep. |
| 13 | Q. Mr. Paris was present and assisted by his |
| 14 | counsel, Ms. Brown was absent but was represented by |
| 15 | her counsel, and that your counsel made a request |
| 16 | for an adjournment that was rejected as unjustified. |
| 17 | A. That is correct. |
| 18 | Q. And I notice that in his written |
| 19 | submissions Mr. Paris requests a long list of things |
| 20 | starting with the joint exercise of parental |
| 21 | authority. |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. And the first things that you requested |

not have jurisdiction?

down below were to declare the Court of Appeals does

24

| Α. | inat is collect. |
|---------|--|
| Q. | And it indicates that you asked for this |
| case in | France not have jurisdiction but the Circuit |

A. That is correct.

Court of the State of Oregon.

That

- Q. So is it your understanding that at this time the Court in France knew about this proceeding in Oregon?
 - A. Oh, yes.
- Q. Are you aware of any time at which the Court in France reached out to Judge Orr to speak to Judge Orr about this proceeding?
 - A. No.
- Q. To the best of your knowledge, did that not occur?
- A. To the best of my knowledge, that never occurred.
 - Q. And it looks like -- the written submissions, these are the priorities that you noted in limine (unintelligible)?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Then on page 2 there's on the merits, to establish the residence of the children in the maternal home in the states; failing that to maintain the alternating residence in the United

```
States and to order the sharing of the children's
1
2
     expenses.
3
         Α.
                Uh-huh.
 4
                I don't see anywhere you asked the joint
         Q.
     exercise of parental authority or anything along
5
6
     those terms.
                    THE COURT: I didn't understand that
7
      (unintelligible).
 8
 9
                    THE WITNESS: Right here.
                    THE COURT: You don't see where she
10
11
     asked for --
                    THE WITNESS: Oh, this is --
12
13
                    MR. MURDOCH: Yes. Sorry, Your Honor.
     I want to compare --
14
                    THE WITNESS: Oh, this is Mr. Paris's
15
16
     versus mine.
17
                    MR. MURDOCH: Yeah. Mr. Paris had a
18
     long list of things that he asked for in his --
19
                    THE COURT: I'm following you now. I
20
     see where you are going.
21
                    MR. MURDOCH: Sorry. I jumped ahead,
22
     Judge.
     BY MR. MURDOCH:
23
24
          Q.
                You were asking for much less on the
25
     merits rather than what he was asking for.
```

| 1 | A. F | Right. I | didn't | make | any re | quest | for | |
|----|-------------|------------|----------|--------|---------|--------|-----|--|
| 2 | parental au | ıthority b | oecause | we di | .dn't k | now it | was | |
| 3 | going to ha | appen or b | oe even | talke | ed abou | ıt. | | |
| 4 | | (Concl | lusion o | of exc | erpt.) | | | |
| 5 | | | | , | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | |
| .8 | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | |

```
1
      State of Oregon
 2
                               SS.
 3
      County of Lane
 4
 5
          I, Eleanor Knapp, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
      for the State of Oregon, do hereby certify that the
 6
 7
      foregoing pages 1 to 15 comprise a complete, true,
      and accurate transcription, to the best of my
 8
 9
      ability, of the requested excerpt of the audio
      recording provided of the proceedings held in the
10
      cause previously captioned and held on August 3,
11
12
      2023.
13
14
15
      Dated at Eugene, Oregon, this 18th day of August,
16
17
      2023.
18
19
20
21
       Ellann Glonagep
22
      Eleanor Knapp, CSR-RPR
      CSR No. 93-0262
23
24
      Expires: September 30, 2023
25
```